NOTEBOOK

Human-Computer Interaction

Interaction in HCI

HCI course notes about Interaction and ergonomics in User Interfaces

Author:

Luca Bianchi, PhD

Radixia

Summary

Preface

This book is a collection of notes, providing a concise introduction to the human factors that influence human-computer interaction. It is designed for university students studying human-computer interaction, user experience design and does not have the goal to address a full accurate discussion on the topic.

The content focuses on core concepts and fundamental topics that explain how human perception, memory, thinking processes, and cognitive biases affect the way people interact with technology. By understanding these human elements, designers can create interfaces that work with—rather than against—human capabilities and limitations.

Each chapter presents essential principles with clear explanations and practical implications for interface design. The concepts covered in this textbook are drawn from cognitive psychology, neuroscience, and human factors research, applied specifically to the context of human-computer interaction.

This condensed edition emphasizes definitions, key concepts, and direct applications to interface design, providing a solid foundation for further study in the field.

The updated version of this content can be downloaded

CHAPTER 1.

Introduction to Human-Computer Interaction

1.1. The Human Side of Interaction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of technology, understanding the relationship between humans and computers has become increasingly important. Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) focuses on the design, evaluation, and implementation of interactive computing systems for human use, and the study of major phenomena surrounding them [1]. At its core, HCI is about creating technologies that work harmoniously with human capabilities and limitations.

To design interfaces for humans effectively, it is crucial to understand the potential and limitations of people. As designers and developers of interactive systems, we must recognize that humans are not merely users of technology but complex beings with diverse perceptual, cognitive, and physical characteristics that influence how they interact with computers and other digital devices.

The human processing involved in interacting with computer systems depends fundamentally on our perceptual, motorial, and cognitive capabilities. These capabilities determine how we perceive information presented by interfaces, how we process and make sense of this information, and how we physically interact with devices. By understanding these human dimensions, we can create more intuitive, efficient, and satisfying interactive experiences.

As research indicates, "The human-computer interface—the point at which a human and computer meet—can be rife with misunderstandings" [2]. These misunderstandings often arise when designers fail to account for human factors in their design process. When interaction techniques are mismatched or break down, mistakes are made, user frustration increases, and faith in the system declines.

1.2. Simplified Model of the User

To better understand how humans interact with computers, we can use a simplified model that draws parallels between human information processing and computer operations. This model, while not capturing all the complexities of human cognition, provides a useful framework for thinking about human-computer interaction.

In this model, information:

Arrives (input) through our sensory systems

- Is encoded and stored (memory) in various memory systems
- Is processed (processor) by our cognitive faculties
- Is emitted (output) through our motor systems as responses

This computer-like model helps us analyze the interaction between humans and technology in a structured way. Just as computers have input devices, processing units, memory systems, and output mechanisms, humans have analogous systems for receiving, processing, storing, and responding to information.

However, it's important to recognize that this is a simplification. Human cognition is far more complex, adaptive, and context-dependent than any computer system. Our perceptual, cognitive, and motor systems have evolved over millions of years for survival in the natural world, not necessarily for interacting with digital interfaces.

CHAPTER 2.

Understanding Interactivity

2.1. The Evolution of HCI

Human-computer interaction emerged as a distinct discipline in the 1980s with the advent of personal computing [3]. As machines such as the Apple Macintosh, IBM PC 5150, and Commodore 64 began appearing in homes and offices, the need for sophisticated electronic systems to be accessible to general consumers became increasingly apparent.

This transition marked a fundamental shift from room-sized, expensive tools designed exclusively for experts in specialized environments to user-friendly interfaces that could accommodate less experienced users. The evolution of HCI reflects a broader understanding that technology alone is insufficient to create meaningful and effective computational experiences.

2.2. Multidisciplinary Foundation

At its core, human-computer interaction is a multidisciplinary field that draws from computer science, cognitive science, and human factors engineering [4]. This interdisciplinary foundation reflects the complexity of designing systems that must simultaneously accommodate human cognitive and physical capabilities while leveraging the computational power and precision of modern technology.

The field encompasses both academic research focused on understanding the fundamental principles of human-technology interaction and applied design disciplines concerned with creating practical solutions that improve people's lives and work experiences.

2.3. The Concept of Interactivity

The concept of interactivity extends far beyond simple input and output mechanisms. True interactivity involves a dynamic, bidirectional communication process between human users and computational systems, where each participant influences and responds to the other in meaningful ways. This communication requires careful attention to how information is represented, transmitted, and interpreted by both human and machine participants in the interaction.

Understanding interactivity requires examining several key dimensions:

Models that guide how we conceptualize and design interactive systems

- Ergonomic factors that influence physical and cognitive comfort during interaction
- Interaction styles that different interface paradigms afford
- Interface elements that comprise modern graphical user interfaces

CHAPTER 3.

The Nature of Interaction

3.1. What is Interaction?

The fundamental question of what constitutes interaction between humans and computers lies at the heart of the HCI discipline. At its most basic level, interaction can be understood as communication between user and system, but this simple definition belies the complexity and nuance involved in creating effective interactive experiences [5].

Interaction in the context of human-computer systems involves a continuous cycle of communication where users express intentions, systems interpret and respond to these intentions, and users in turn interpret and react to system responses. This cyclical process creates a dynamic dialog that can either facilitate or hinder the achievement of user goals.

3.2. The Translation Problem

One of the most significant challenges in human-computer interaction stems from what can be termed the "translation problem." Humans and computers represent and communicate information in fundamentally different ways, creating a need for careful translation between human concepts and system concepts [6].

- Human Communication Characteristics:
    - Natural language usage
    - Visual imagery and spatial relationships
    - Contextual associations rooted in physical and social experience
    - Intuitive and flexible interpretation
- Computer Communication Characteristics:
    - Formal logical structures
    - Precise syntactic rules
    - Discrete symbolic representations
    - Computational efficiency prioritization

This fundamental difference creates numerous opportunities for misunderstanding and breakdown in the interactive process. When a user clicks on an icon, types a command, or gestures toward a screen, they are expressing an intention using their understanding of what these actions should accomplish.

3.3. Individual Differences in Interaction

The translation problem is further complicated by the fact that individual humans differ significantly in how they represent information and communicate [7]. Individual differences include:

- Cognitive Style Variations:
  - Hierarchical vs. flat organizational preferences
  - Spatial vs. list-based thinking
  - Sequential vs. random access patterns
  - Analytical vs. intuitive problem-solving approaches
- Cultural and Linguistic Diversity:
  - Interface metaphor interpretation
  - Color symbolism and meaning
  - Reading patterns and conventions
  - Social interaction norms
- Physical and Sensory Capabilities:
  - Visual, auditory, and motor abilities
  - Age-related capability changes
  - Temporary and permanent disabilities
  - Assistive technology requirements

3.4. The Multidisciplinary Foundation of HCI

HCI draws from multiple disciplines to address the complexity of human-computer interaction:

- Computer Science Contributions:
  - System architecture and implementation
  - Algorithm design and optimization
  - Software engineering methodologies
  - Human-computer interface technologies

- Cognitive Science Contributions:
    - Human information processing models
    - Memory and attention mechanisms
    - Learning and skill acquisition
    - Decision-making processes
- Human Factors Engineering Contributions:
    - Ergonomic design principles
    - Performance measurement and optimization
    - Safety and error prevention
    - Workplace design and organization
- Design Disciplines Contributions:
    - Visual communication principles
    - User experience design methods
    - Aesthetic and emotional considerations
    - Cultural and social design factors

CHAPTER 4.

Interaction Models

4.1. Understanding Interaction Models

Interaction models provide conceptual frameworks for understanding and designing the communication between humans and computers. These models help designers predict user behavior, identify potential problems, and create more effective interactive experiences [8].

Effective interaction models serve several important functions:

Predictive capability for user behavior and system response

- Design guidance for interface development
- Evaluation framework for assessing interaction quality
- Communication tool for design teams and stakeholders

4.2. Characteristics of Effective Interaction Models

Simplicity and Clarity: Good interaction models are simple enough to be understood and applied by designers while being comprehensive enough to capture essential aspects of the interaction.

Predictive Power: Effective models help predict how users will behave in different situations and how changes to the interface will affect user performance and satisfaction.

Generalizability: The best interaction models apply across different types of interfaces, tasks, and user populations, providing broad design guidance.

Empirical Grounding: Effective models are based on empirical research about human capabilities, limitations, and preferences rather than intuition or assumption.

4.3. Building Interaction Models

The process of building interaction models involves several key steps:

User Research and Analysis: Understanding the target user population, their goals, capabilities, and constraints through observation, interviews, and empirical studies.

Task Analysis: Breaking down user goals into specific tasks and subtasks to understand the cognitive and physical requirements of the interaction.

Context Analysis: Examining the physical, social, and organizational context in which the interaction takes place.

Model Development: Creating formal or informal representations of the interaction process that capture key relationships and dependencies.

Validation and Refinement: Testing the model against real user behavior and refining it based on empirical evidence.

4.4. Flow States and Optimal Experience

One important aspect of interaction models is understanding how to create conditions for optimal user experience, often described as "flow states" [9]. Flow is characterized by:

Clear goals and immediate feedback

Balance between challenge and skill level

Merging of action and awareness

Total concentration on the task

Loss of self-consciousness

Transformation of time perception

Designing for flow requires careful attention to task difficulty, feedback mechanisms, and the elimination of distractions and interruptions.

CHAPTER 5.

Ergonomics in Human-Computer Interaction

5.1. Foundations of Ergonomics

Ergonomics, also known as human factors engineering, is the scientific discipline concerned with understanding interactions among humans and other elements of a system, and the profession that applies theory, principles, data, and methods to design in order to optimize human well-being and overall system performance [10].

In the context of HCI, ergonomics focuses on designing interactive systems that:

Optimize human performance and reduce errors

- Enhance user comfort and reduce physical strain
- Improve user satisfaction and acceptance
- Ensure safety and prevent injury

5.2. The Three Domains of Ergonomics

The International Ergonomics Association defines three domains of ergonomics that are particularly relevant to HCI:

- Physical Ergonomics: Concerned with human anatomical, anthropometric, physiological, and biomechanical characteristics as they relate to physical activity. In HCI, this includes:
    - Workstation design and layout
    - Input device design and placement
    - Display positioning and characteristics
    - Repetitive strain injury prevention
    - Accessibility for users with physical disabilities

- Cognitive Ergonomics: Concerned with mental processes such as perception, memory, reasoning, and motor response as they affect interactions among humans and other elements of a system. In HCI, this includes:
  - Information processing and mental workload
  - Memory limitations and support strategies
  - Attention and distraction management
  - Decision-making support
  - Learning and skill acquisition
- Organizational Ergonomics: Concerned with the optimization of sociotechnical systems, including their organizational structures, policies, and processes. In HCI, this includes:
  - Technology adoption and change management
  - Collaborative work support
  - Communication and coordination tools
  - Training and support systems
  - Organizational culture and technology use

5.3. Physical Characteristics of Interaction

Anthropometric Considerations: Designing for the range of human body sizes and proportions, including reach distances, viewing angles, and accommodation of different postures.

Biomechanical Factors: Understanding how repetitive motions, force requirements, and sustained postures affect user comfort and performance over time.

Sensory Capabilities: Designing for human visual, auditory, and tactile capabilities and limitations, including age-related changes and individual differences.

Motor Control: Accommodating human precision, speed, and coordination capabilities in the design of input methods and interaction techniques.

5.4. Ergonomic Principles in Interface Design

Fitts' Law: The time required to move to a target is a function of the distance to the target and the size of the target. This principle guides the design of clickable elements and their placement.

Hick's Law: The time required to make a decision increases with the number of alternatives. This principle informs menu design and option presentation.

Miller's Rule: The average person can hold 7±2 items in working memory. This principle guides information chunking and organization strategies.

Recognition vs. Recall: People are better at recognizing information than recalling it from memory. This principle supports the use of menus, icons, and other recognition-based interfaces.

5.5. Contemporary Ergonomic Challenges

Mobile and Touch Interfaces: Designing for touch interaction, small screens, and mobile contexts presents new ergonomic challenges related to thumb reach, finger precision, and visual accommodation.

Virtual and Augmented Reality: Immersive technologies create new considerations for motion sickness, eye strain, spatial disorientation, and long-term use effects.

Voice and Gesture Interfaces: Natural interaction modalities require consideration of vocal strain, gesture fatigue, and social acceptability in different contexts.

Aging Populations: Designing for age-related changes in vision, hearing, motor control, and cognitive processing becomes increasingly important as populations age globally.

CHAPTER 6.

Interaction Styles

6.1. Understanding Interaction Styles

Interaction styles represent different paradigms for how humans and computers communicate and exchange information. Each style has distinct characteristics, advantages, and limitations that make it more or less suitable for different types of tasks and user populations [11].

The choice of interaction style significantly affects:

Learning time required for users to become proficient

- Task performance speed and accuracy
- Error rates and recovery mechanisms
- User satisfaction and acceptance
- Accessibility for diverse user populations

6.2. Command Language Interfaces

Command language interfaces require users to type specific commands using a formal syntax to accomplish tasks. Examples include command-line interfaces, programming languages, and query languages.

Advantages:

- High efficiency for expert users
- Precise control over system behavior
- Powerful functionality through command composition
- Low resource requirements for implementation

Disadvantages:

- High learning curve and memory requirements
- Error-prone due to syntax requirements
- Poor discoverability of available functions
- Limited accessibility for some user populations

Design Considerations:

- Consistent and logical command syntax
- Comprehensive help and documentation
- Command completion and suggestion features
- Error messages that guide correction

6.3. Form-Based Interfaces

Form-based interfaces present users with structured input fields that must be completed to accomplish tasks. Examples include web forms, dialog boxes, and data entry screens.

Advantages:

- Clear structure and guidance for users
- Reduced memory load through prompted input
- Error prevention through validation and constraints
- Familiar metaphor based on paper forms

Disadvantages:

- Rigid interaction flow that may not match user mental models
- Inefficient for expert users who know what they want to do
- Limited flexibility in task completion approaches
- Potential for lengthy completion times

Design Considerations:

- Logical grouping and sequencing of fields
- Clear labeling and instruction text
- Appropriate input controls for different data types
- Effective error handling and validation feedback

6.4. Menu Selection Systems

Menu selection interfaces present users with lists of available options that can be selected to trigger actions or navigate to different areas of the system.

Advantages:

- Easy to learn and remember
- Reduced typing and syntax errors
- Good discoverability of available functions
- Suitable for diverse user populations

Disadvantages:

- Slower for frequent tasks compared to command interfaces
- Screen space consumption for large option sets
- Deep hierarchies can be difficult to navigate
- Limited expressiveness compared to command languages

Design Considerations:

- Logical organization and categorization of options
- Appropriate menu depth and breadth balance
- Clear and descriptive option labels
- Consistent navigation and selection mechanisms

6.5. Direct Manipulation Interfaces

Direct manipulation interfaces allow users to interact with objects on the screen by pointing, clicking, and dragging, creating the illusion of directly manipulating the objects of interest.

Advantages:

- Intuitive interaction based on physical world metaphors
- Immediate visual feedback for user actions
- Easy to learn and remember
- Reduced cognitive load through visual representation

Disadvantages:

- Limited expressiveness for complex operations
- Potential inefficiency for repetitive tasks
- Screen space requirements for visual representation
- Accessibility challenges for users with visual or motor impairments

Design Considerations:

- Consistent visual metaphors and interaction techniques
- Appropriate feedback for all user actions
- Undo and error recovery mechanisms
- Alternative interaction methods for accessibility

6.6. Hybrid and Emerging Interaction Styles

Modern interfaces often combine multiple interaction styles to leverage the advantages of each:

Voice Interfaces: Natural language interaction through speech recognition and synthesis, offering hands-free operation but requiring consideration of accuracy, privacy, and social context.

Gesture Interfaces: Recognition of hand, body, or facial gestures for control, providing natural interaction but requiring consideration of fatigue, accuracy, and cultural differences.

Multimodal Interfaces: Combination of multiple input and output modalities to provide flexible and robust interaction options.

Adaptive Interfaces: Systems that modify their behavior based on user preferences, context, or performance to optimize the interaction experience.

CHAPTER 7.

WIMP Interface Elements

7.1. The WIMP Paradigm

WIMP (Windows, Icons, Menus, Pointers) represents the dominant paradigm for desktop computer interfaces since the 1980s [12]. This paradigm provides a consistent framework for organizing and presenting interactive elements that has proven highly successful across different applications and platforms.

The WIMP paradigm is based on several key metaphors:

- Desktop metaphor: The screen represents a workspace with documents and tools
- Document metaphor: Information is organized into discrete files and folders
- Tool metaphor: Applications provide specialized tools for different tasks
- Direct manipulation: Users interact with objects through pointing and clicking

7.2. Core WIMP Elements

Windows: Rectangular areas on the screen that contain application content and controls.

Windows provide:

- Spatial organization of information and tools
- Multitasking support through multiple simultaneous windows
- Context isolation between different applications or documents
- Resizing and repositioning capabilities for user customization

Icons: Small graphical representations of objects, actions, or concepts. Effective icons:

- Communicate meaning quickly and clearly
- Reduce language barriers through visual representation
- Provide recognition cues for navigation and selection

- Maintain consistency across applications and contexts

Menus: Organized lists of available commands and options. Well-designed menus:

- Group related functions logically
- Provide clear hierarchical organization
- Use consistent terminology and structure
- Support both novice and expert users

Pointers: Cursor representations that indicate the current focus of user attention and available interactions. Effective pointer design:

- Provides clear visual feedback for different interaction modes
- Indicates available actions through cursor changes
- Maintains visibility across different backgrounds and contexts
- Supports precision for detailed manipulation tasks

7.3. Extended WIMP Components

Dialog Boxes: Modal or non-modal windows that present specific tasks or information requests. Good dialog design:

- Focuses user attention on specific tasks
- Provides clear action options and consequences
- Maintains context with the parent application
- Supports efficient task completion

Toolbars: Collections of frequently used commands presented as buttons or icons. Effective toolbars:

- Provide quick access to common functions
- Use recognizable icons and consistent layout
- Support customization for different user needs
- Maintain reasonable size and organization

Status Bars: Areas that display information about current system or application state. Well-designed status bars:

- Provide relevant feedback about ongoing operations

- Use clear and concise information presentation
- Update dynamically to reflect current conditions
- Avoid information overload through selective display

7.4. WIMP Implementation Variations

Different platforms and applications implement WIMP elements with variations that reflect platform conventions, user expectations, and specific application requirements:

Platform Conventions: Windows, macOS, and Linux each have established conventions for window controls, menu organization, and keyboard shortcuts that users expect applications to follow.

Application-Specific Adaptations: Different types of applications (word processors, image editors, games) may modify standard WIMP elements to better support their specific tasks and workflows.

Cultural Adaptations: WIMP interfaces may be modified to accommodate different cultural expectations for reading direction, color symbolism, and interaction patterns.

7.5. Beyond WIMP: Post-WIMP Interfaces

While WIMP remains dominant for desktop computing, new interaction paradigms are emerging:

Touch Interfaces: Direct manipulation through finger contact with screens, requiring larger targets and gesture-based navigation.

Natural User Interfaces: Interaction through speech, gesture, and other natural human communication modalities.

Immersive Interfaces: Virtual and augmented reality environments that extend interaction into three-dimensional space.

Ambient Interfaces: Subtle information presentation that integrates with the physical environment without demanding explicit attention.

CHAPTER 8.

Integration and Future Directions

8.1. Connecting the Concepts

The various aspects of interaction in HCI—human factors, interaction models, ergonomics, interaction styles, and interface elements—work together to create effective interactive experiences. Successful interface design requires:

Holistic Thinking: Considering how different aspects of interaction affect each other and the overall user experience.

User-Centered Approach: Prioritizing user needs, capabilities, and contexts throughout the design process.

Iterative Design: Continuously testing and refining interfaces based on user feedback and empirical evidence.

Accessibility Consideration: Ensuring interfaces work for users with diverse abilities and in different contexts.

8.2. Design Decision Framework

When making interface design decisions, consider:

User Characteristics: Who will use the system and what are their capabilities, limitations, and preferences?

Task Requirements: What tasks need to be supported and what are their cognitive and physical demands?

Context of Use: Where and when will the interaction take place, and what environmental factors may affect it?

Technology Constraints: What are the capabilities and limitations of the available technology platform?

Organizational Factors: What organizational policies, cultures, and processes will influence system adoption and use?

8.3. Future Directions in Interactive Systems

Artificial Intelligence Integration: AI technologies will increasingly augment human capabilities and provide more intelligent, adaptive interfaces.

Ubiquitous Computing: Interactive systems will become embedded in everyday objects and environments, requiring new approaches to interface design.

Personalization and Adaptation: Systems will increasingly adapt to individual user preferences, capabilities, and contexts.

Collaborative Technologies: Enhanced support for remote collaboration and distributed teamwork will become increasingly important.

Ethical Considerations: Growing attention to privacy, autonomy, and the social implications of interactive technologies will shape future design practices.

CHAPTER 9.

References

[1] Dix, A., Finlay, J., Abowd, G., & Beale, R. (2003). Human-Computer Interaction (3rd ed.). Pearson Education.

[2] Fennigkoh, L. (2013). Human-Computer Interface Design. In Biomedical Engineering Handbook (pp. 45-67). CRC Press.

[3] Myers, B. A. (1998). A brief history of human-computer interaction technology. Interactions, 5(2), 44-54.

[4] Carroll, J. M. (2003). HCI Models, Theories, and Frameworks: Toward a Multidisciplinary Science. Morgan Kaufmann.

[5] Norman, D. A. (2013). The Design of Everyday Things: Revised and Expanded Edition. Basic Books.

[6] Hutchins, E. L., Hollan, J. D., & Norman, D. A. (1985). Direct manipulation interfaces. Human-Computer Interaction, 1(4), 311-338.

[7] Benyon, D., Turner, P., & Turner, S. (2005). Designing Interactive Systems: People, Activities, Contexts, Technologies. Pearson Education.

[8] Preece, J., Rogers, Y., & Sharp, H. (2015). Interaction Design: Beyond Human-Computer Interaction (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

[9] Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience. Harper & Row.

[10] International Ergonomics Association. (2023). Definition and Domains of Ergonomics. https://iea.cc/what-is-ergonomics/

[11] Shneiderman, B., Plaisant, C., Cohen, M., Jacobs, S., Elmqvist, N., & Diakopoulos, N. (2016). Designing the User Interface: Strategies for Effective Human-Computer Interaction (6th ed.). Pearson.

[12] Johnson, J., Roberts, T. L., Verplank, W., Smith, D. C., Irby, C. H., Beard, M., & Mackey, K. (1989). The Xerox Star: A retrospective. Computer, 22(9), 11-29.